

U.S.S.N. 09/676,866

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-48 are pending in this application.

Claims 5-13 and 20-27 and 36 are allowed.

Claims 19, 35, 37-38 and 42-43 and 44-48 are objected to.

Claims 1-4, 14-18 and 28-34 and 39-41 are rejected

The office action dated January 25, 2005 indicates that claims 1-4, 16-19, 28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, because the specification does not provide enablement for the term "only." The office action also maintains the rejections of claims 1-2, 16-17 and 29 (interpreted without the term "only") under 35 USC §102(a) as being anticipated by Harrington U.S. Patent No. 6,031,581; it maintains the rejections of claims 4, 14-15, 28, 31, 33, and 39-41 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Harrington, and it maintains the rejections of claims 3 and 8 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Harrington in view of others.

These rejections have been rendered moot by the amendments above. Claim 1 and 29 has been amended to remove the term "only." Claims 1, 16 and 29 have been amended to include the subject matter of claims 44, 19 and 35, respectively.

The office action indicates that claims 19, 35, and 44 contain allowable subject matter. Therefore, amended claims 1, 16 and 29 should be allowed.

Claim 15 has also been amended to recite this allowable subject matter. Therefore, amended claim 15 should be allowed.

Claims 19, 35 and 44 are now cancelled. Claims 4 and 45 have been amended to depend from claim 1 instead of claim 44. Claims 2-4 and 30-31 and

U.S.S.N. 09/676,866

45-48 all depend from claim 1 and, therefore, should be allowed. Claims 17-18, 28 and 32-33 all depend from amended claim 16 and, therefore, should be allowed.

Claim 38 has been amended to depend from claim 29 instead of claim 35. Claims 34 and 37-43 all depend from amended claim 29 and, therefore, should be allowed

The application should now be in condition for allowance. The examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned if any issues remain.